

CONTENTS

	Page
1 Purpose, Need and Benefits	1-1
1.1 Introduction... ..	1-1
1.2 Regulatory Setting	1-1
1.2.1 Background	1-1
1.2.2 Montana Department of Environmental Quality	1-2
1.2.3 Bureau of Land Management.....	1-5
1.2.4 U.S. Forest Service	1-5
1.2.5 Planning Requirements, Authorizations and Permits.....	1-6
1.3 Purpose and Need.....	1-6
1.3.1 Federal Agencies’ Purpose and Need	1-6
1.3.2 BLM Purpose and Need.....	1-6
1.3.3 USDA Forest Service Purpose and Need.....	1-7
1.3.4 Montana Department of Environmental Quality Purpose Need and Benefit.....	1-7
1.3.5 Proponent’s Purpose and Need	1-8
1.3.6 Overview of Need for MSTI.....	1-10
1.4 Benefits.....	1-16
1.4.1 Consistency with Plans for Grid Expansion	1-21
1.4.2 U.S. Western Grid Regional Benefits	1-23
1.4.3 Enable Energy Diversification	1-24
1.4.4 Provide Bi-Directional Transmission Capacity	1-24
1.4.5 Provide Market Competition and Increase Supplier Choice.....	1-24
1.4.6 Provide a Positive Economic Influence	1-25
1.4.7 Montana Department of Environmental Quality Preliminary Assessment of Need.....	1-25
1.5 Authorizing Laws and Regulations	1-26
1.6 Scoping Process and Issues Raised	1-33
1.6.1 Scoping Meetings and Public Outreach	1-33
1.6.2 Issues Analyzed	1-33
2 Proposed Action and Project Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Project Overview	2-1
2.1.1 New 500-kV Transmission Line	2-2
2.1.2 New Townsend Substation	2-4
2.1.3 Mill Creek Substation	2-4
2.1.4 Midpoint Substation Modifications	2-4
2.2 Alternatives Considered but not carried forward for Detailed Analysis	2-4
2.2.1 Alternative Transmission Technologies.....	2-4
2.2.2 Underground Construction.....	2-6
2.2.3 Double Circuiting and Line Upgrades	2-9
2.2.4 Non-Transmission Alternatives	2-9
2.2.5 Route Alternatives Eliminated.....	2-10
2.3 Alternatives Studied in Detail	2-15
2.3.1 No Action Alternative.....	2-15
2.3.2 Project Features Common to the Proposed Action and Other Alternatives	2-15
2.4 Route Alternatives.....	2-33
2.4.1 Route Evaluation Process Overview.....	2-33
2.4.2 Route Alternatives Development Process.....	2-33

Contents (continued)		Page
2.4.3	Route Alternatives	2-34
2.4.4	Description of Local Routing Options	2-46
2.5	Agency Preferred Alternative.....	2-49
3	Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences.....	3.1-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Resource Sections	3.1-1
3.1.2	Access Roads	3.1-3
3.1.3	Mitigation.....	3.1-4
3.1.4	Process	3.1-5
3.2	Air and Atmospheric Values	3.2-1
3.2.1	Environmental Setting	3.2-1
3.2.2	Analysis Methods.....	3.2-11
3.2.3	Impact Analysis	3.2-12
3.2.4	Mitigation Measures	3.2-20
3.3	Biological Resources	3.3-1
3.3.1	Environmental Setting	3.3-1
3.3.2	Regulatory Setting	3.3-25
3.3.3	Analysis Methods.....	3.3-27
3.3.4	Impact Analysis	3.3-31
3.3.5	Mitigation Measures	3.3-65
3.3.6	Residual Impacts.....	3.3-68
3.4	Cultural Resources	3.4-1
3.4.1	Project Geography and Environment.....	3.4-
3.4.2	Regional Culture History	3.4-
3.4.3	Tribal Treaty Rights and Contemporary Land Use.....	3.4-
3.4.4	Regulatory Setting	3.4-
3.4.5	Cultural Resource Definitions.....	3.4-
3.4.6	Determining National Register Eligibility of Sites	3.4-
3.4.7	Native American Consultation.....	3.4-
3.4.8	Section 106 Process and Programmatic Agreement	3.4-
3.4.9	Methods	3.4-
3.4.10	MSTI Impacts to Cultural Resources.....	3.4-
3.4.11	Effects Summary.....	3.4-
3.4.12	Climate Change.....	3.4-
3.4.13	Mitigation of Impacts to Cultural Resources	3.4-
3.5	Human Health and Safety.....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Environmental Setting	3.5-1
3.5.2	Electric and Magnetic Field Analysis	3.5-2
3.5.3	Literature Review of EMF and Health Concerns.....	3.5-60
3.5.4	Global Systems Positioning and Transmission Lines	3.5-75
3.5.5	Audible Noise	3.5-80
3.5.6	Radio and Television Interference	3.5-93
3.5.7	Induced Currents from Transmission Lines.....	3.5-111
3.5.8	Other Potential Human Health Effects.....	3.5-115
3.5.9	Climate Change.....	3.5-119
3.6	Land Use and Recreation	3.6-1
3.6.1	General Land Use Characteristics.....	3.6-1
3.6.2	Existing Land Use.....	3.6-2

Contents (continued)		Page
3.6.3	Specific Land Use Characteristics	3.6-7
3.6.4	Regulatory Setting	3.6-59
3.6.5	Analysis Methods.....	3.6-69
3.6.6	Impact Analysis	3.6-69
3.6.7	Management Plan Analysis.....	3.6-85
3.6.8	Local Routing Options and Link Comparison	3.6-85
3.6.9	Mitigation Measures	3.6-86
3.7	Socioeconomics.....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Environmental Setting	3.7-1
3.7.2	Regulatory Setting	3.7-11
3.7.3	Public Attitudes and Concerns.....	3.7-12
3.7.4	Analysis Methods.....	3.7-13
3.7.5	Impact Analysis	3.7-14
3.7.6	Mitigation Measures	3.7-48
3.7.7	Residual Impacts.....	3.7-49
3.7.8	Irreversible or Irrecoverable Commitments of Socioeconomic Resources	3.7-52
3.8	Soils and Geology	3.8-1
3.8.1	Environmental Setting	3.8-1
3.8.2	Regulatory Setting	3.8-2
3.8.3	Analysis Methods.....	3.8-3
3.8.4	Impact Analysis	3.8-4
3.8.5	Mitigation Measures	3.8-10
3.8.6	Residual Impacts.....	3.8-14
3.9	Paleontology	3.9-1
3.9.1	Environmental Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2	Regulatory Setting	3.9-1
3.9.3	Analysis Methods.....	3.9-1
3.9.4	Impact Analysis	3.9-2
3.9.5	Mitigation Measures	3.9-13
3.9.6	Residual Impacts.....	3.9-14
3.10	Vegetation	3.10-1
3.10.1	Overview.....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Vegetation Cover Types	3.10-3
3.10.3	Rangeland Health.....	3.10-22
3.10.4	Special Status Plant Species.....	3.10-36
3.10.5	Impact Summary	3.10-49
3.10.6	Local Routing Options.....	3.10-50
3.10.7	Climate Change.....	3.10-56
3.10.8	Mitigation Measures	3.10-56
3.10.9	Residual Impacts.....	3.10-59
3.11	Visual Resources	3.11-1
3.11.1	Environmental Setting	3.11-1
3.11.2	Regulatory Setting	3.11-24
3.11.3	Analysis Methods.....	3.11-27
3.11.4	Impact Analysis	3.11-35
3.12	Water and Wetland Resources.....	3.12-1
3.12.1	Environmental Setting	3.12-1
3.12.2	Regulatory Setting	3.12-1
3.12.3	Road Network	3.12-5

Contents (continued)		Page
3.12.4	Physical Setting.....	3.12-9
3.12.5	Wetlands	3.12-29
3.12.6	Climate Change.....	3.12-47
3.12.7	Mitigation Measures	3.12-47
3.12.8	Residual Impacts.....	3.12-
3.13	Environmental Justice	3.13-1
3.13.1	Environmental Setting	3.13-1
3.13.2	Regulatory Setting	3.13-6
3.13.3	Public Attitudes and Concerns.....	3.13-6
3.13.4	Analysis Methods.....	3.13-7
3.13.5	Impact Analysis	3.13-7
3.13.6	Mitigation Measures	3.13-9
3.14	Summary of Environmental Impacts.....	3.14-1
3.14.1	No Action Alternative [H3]	3.14-
3.14.2	Proposed Project [H3].....	3.14-
3.14.3	Agency Preferred Alternative [H3].....	3.14-
3.14.4	Preliminary Significance Determination.....	3.14-
3.14.5	Environmental Effects of Possible Plan Amendments.....	3.14-
4	Cumulative Effects.....	4-1
4.1	Background and Regulatory Framework.....	4-
4.2	Cumulative Impacts Study Area and Timeframe	4-
4.3	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	4-
4.3.1	Transmission Lines and Generation Facilities	4-
4.3.2	Mining.....	4-
4.3.3	Aviation Facilities	4-
4.3.4	Roads and Subdivisions	4-
4.3.5	Forest Modification.....	4-
4.3.6	Grazing and Agriculture	4-
4.4	Evaluation of Potential Cumulative Impacts	4-
4.4.1	Air Quality	4-
4.4.2	Climate Change.....	4-
4.4.3	Wildlife	4-
4.4.4	Fisheries	4-
4.4.5	Cultural Resources	4-
4.4.6	Human Health and Safety	4-
4.4.7	Land Use and Recreation	4-
4.4.8	Socioeconomics	4-
4.4.9	Soils	4-
4.4.10	Geology.....	4-
4.4.11	Paleontology	4-
4.4.12	Vegetation.....	4-
4.4.13	Visual Resources.....	4-
4.4.14	Watersheds and Wetlands	4-
4.4.15	Environmental Justice.....	4-
4.5	Cumulative Effects of Amendments to Land Use Plans	4-
4.6	Short-Term Use vs. Long-Term Productivity	4-
4.7	Irreversible / Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	4-

Contents (continued)		Page
5	Federal Management Plan Consistency	5-1
5.1	Relationship to Policies, Plans, and Programs	5-1
5.2	Evaluation of Consistency.....	5-1
6	Consultation and Coordination	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-
6.2	Pre-NEPA/MEPA Outreach	6-
6.2.1	Public Open Houses (Pre-NEPA/MEPA).....	6-
6.2.2	Elected Official Briefings	6-
6.2.3	Other Public Communications.....	6-
6.2.4	Agency Meetings and Communications	6-
6.3	NEPA/MEPA Process	6-
6.3.1	Formal NEPA/MEPA Scoping	6-
6.3.2	Post-Scoping Outreach.....	6-
6.4	Agency Consultation	6-
6.4.1	Biological Resources	6-
6.4.2	Cultural Resources	6-
6.5	Tribal Consultation.....	6-
6.6	Treaty Rights	6-
6.7	Recipients of the Environmental Impact Statement	6-
7	List of Preparers.....	7-1
8	References.....	8-1
9	Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	9-1

Figures	Page
Figure 1-1.	Project Area and Alternative Transmission Line Routes 1-3
Figure 1-2.	Incremental Electricity Demand 2007–2020 and 2007–2030 (Gigawatt-hours per year) 1-11
Figure 1-3.	Renewable Portfolio Standards in the West..... 1-13
Figure 1-4.	Renewable Electricity Needed to Meet RPS Requirements in 2020 (GWh/yr)..... 1-13
Figure 1-5.	WECC (US) Incremental Renewable Portfolio Standards Demand by Year (GWh) 1-14
Figure 1-6.	Import and Export Path Ratings..... 1-15
Figure 1-7.	Proposed Transmission Projects 1-22
Figure 2-1.	MSTI Analysis Zones 2-3
Figure 2-2.	Route Alternatives Considered and Eliminated 2-11
Figure 2-3.	Typical 500-Kilovolt Structure Types (Drawings) 2-17
Figure 2-4.	Typical 500-Kilovolt Structure Types (Photos)..... 2-18
Figure 2-5.	Typical Microwave Facility 2-21
Figure 2-6.	Microwave Facility Locations 2-22
Figure 2-7.	Townsend Substation Site 2-25
Figure 2-8.	Typical Transmission Line Right-of-Way 2-30
Figure 2-9.	Zone 1 Townsend to Mill Creek 2-36
Figure 2-10.	Zone 2 Mill Creek to Glen 2-37
Figure 2-11.	Zone 3 Glen to Stateline 2-38
Figure 2-12.	Zone 4 Stateline to Sheep Station 2-39
Figure 2-13.	Zone 5 Sheep Station to Coffee Point 2-40
Figure 2-14.	Zone 6 Coffee Point to Midpoint 2-41
Figure 2-15.	Local Routing Option Overview 2-48
Figure 2-16.	Agency Preferred Alternative 2-51
Figure 3.1-1.	Zone 1 Townsend to Mill Creek Centerline Links..... 3.1-6
Figure 3.1-2.	Zone 2 Mill Creek to Glen Centerline Links 3.1-7
Figure 3.1-3.	Zone 3 Glen to Stateline Centerline Links..... 3.1-8
Figure 3.1-4.	Zone 4 Stateline to Sheep Station Centerline Links..... 3.1-9
Figure 3.1-5.	Zone 5 Sheep Station to Coffee Point Centerline Links 3.1-10
Figure 3.1-6.	Zone 6 Coffee Point to Midpoint Centerline Links 3.1-11
Figure 3.2-1.	Class 1 and Non-Attainment Areas..... 3.2-2
Figure 3.3-1.	Locations of Sage-grouse Habitats and Generalized Locations Leaks in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project Alternative Routes in Montana and Idaho..... 3.3-19
Figure 3.3-2.	Locations of Radio-collared Sage-grouse in the Proposed Project Area 3.3-21
Figure 3.4-1.	Recent Cultural Chronologies of the Study Area..... 3.4-
Figure 3.4-2.	Site Density Model Overview..... 3.4-
Figure 3.4-3.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 1A..... 3.4-
Figure 3.4-4.	Alternative 1A Site Densities..... 3.4-
Figure 3.4-5.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 1A Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts..... 3.4-
Figure 3.4-6.	Sensitive Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 1A showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts..... 3.4-
Figure 3.4-7.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 1B..... 3.4-

Figures (continued)		Page
Figure 3.4-8.	Alternative 1B Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-9.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 1B Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-10.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 1B Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-11.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 1C.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-12.	Alternative 1C Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-13.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 1C Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-14.	Sensitive Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 1C Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-15.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 1D.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-16.	Alternative 1D Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-17.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 1D Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-18.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 2A.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-19.	Alternative 2A Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-20.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2A Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-21.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2A Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-22.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 2B.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-231.	Alternative 2B Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-2.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2B Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-25.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2B Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-26.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 2C.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-27.	Alternative 2C Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-28.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2C Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-29.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2C Showing Foreground (FG) and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-30.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 2D.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-31.	Alternative 2D Site Densities.....	3.4-

Figures (continued)	Page
Figure 3.4-32. Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2D Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-33. Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2D Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-3. Previously Recorded Prehistoric and Historic Sites within Alternative 2E.	
Figure 3.4-35. Alternative 2E Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-36. Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2E Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-37. Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 2E Showing Foreground (FG) and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-38. Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 3A.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-39. Alternative 3A Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-40. Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 3A Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-41. Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 3A Showing Foreground (FG) and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-42. Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 3B.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-434. Alternative 3B Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-44. Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 3B Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-45. Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 3B Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-46. Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 3C.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-47. Alternative 3C Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-48. Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 3C Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-49. Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 3C Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-50. Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 4A.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-51. Alternative 4A Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-52. Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 4A Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-53. Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 5A.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-54. Alternative 5A Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-55. Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5A Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-56. Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5A Showing Foreground (FG) and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-

Figures (continued)		Page
Figure 3.4-57.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 5B.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-58.	Alternative 5B Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-59.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5B Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-60.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5B Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-61.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 5C.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-62.	Alternative 5C Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-63.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5C Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-64.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5C Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-65.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 5D.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-66.	Alternative 5D Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-67.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5D Showing Immediate Foreground (IFG), Foreground (FG), and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-68.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 5D Showing Foreground (FG) and Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-69.	Previously Recorded Prehistoric, Historic, and Multicomponent (MC) Sites within Alternative 6A.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-70.	Alternative 6A Site Densities.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-71.	Project Component Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 6A Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.4-72.	Sensitive Point Visual Impact Analysis for Alternative 6A Showing Middle Ground (MG) Impacts.....	3.4-
Figure 3.5-1.	Electric Field around a Conductor.....	3.5-3
Figure 3.5-2.	Electric Field Measurements Demonstrate Shielding Due to the Presence of a Tree.....	3.5-4
Figure 3.5-3.	Magnetic Field around a Conductor.....	3.5-7
Figure 3.5-4.	Case 1 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-10
Figure 3.5-5.	Case 2 - Transmission Line Configuration.....	3.5-10
Figure 3.5-6.	Case 3 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-11
Figure 3.5-7.	Case 4 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-11
Figure 3.5-8.	Case 5 - Transmission Line Configuration.....	3.5-12
Figure 3.5-9.	Case 6 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-12
Figure 3.5-10.	Case 7 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-13
Figure 3.5-11.	Case 8 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-13
Figure 3.5-12.	Case 9 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-14
Figure 3.5-13.	Case 10 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-14
Figure 3.5-14.	Case 11 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-15
Figure 3.5-15.	Case 12 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-15
Figure 3.5-16.	Case 13 - Transmission Line Configurations.....	3.5-16

Figures (continued)	Page
Figure 3.5-17.	Case 14 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-16
Figure 3.5-18.	Case 16 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-17
Figure 3.5-19.	Case 17 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-17
Figure 3.5-20.	Case 18 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-18
Figure 3.5-21.	Case 19 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-18
Figure 3.5-22.	Case 20 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-19
Figure 3.5-23.	Case 21 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-19
Figure 3.5-24.	Case 22 - Transmission Line Configurations..... 3.5-20
Figure 3.5-25.	Alternative Mitigation Structure Configuration..... 3.5-20
Figure 3.5-26.	Case 1 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-25
Figure 3.5-27.	Case 2 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-26
Figure 3.5-28.	Case 3 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-27
Figure 3.5-29.	Case 4 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-28
Figure 3.5-30.	Case 5 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-29
Figure 3.5-31.	Case 6 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-30
Figure 3.5-32.	Case 7 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-31
Figure 3.5-33.	Case 8 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-32
Figure 3.5-34.	Case 9 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-33
Figure 3.5-35.	Case 10 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-34
Figure 3.5-36.	Case 11 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-35
Figure 3.5-37.	Case 12 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-36
Figure 3.5-38.	Case 13 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-37
Figure 3.5-39.	Case 14 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-38
Figure 3.5-40.	Case 16 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-39
Figure 3.5-41.	Case 17 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-40
Figure 3.5-42.	Case 18 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-41
Figure 3.5-43.	Case 19 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-42
Figure 3.5-44.	Case 20 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-43
Figure 3.5-45.	Case 21 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-44
Figure 3.5-46.	Case 22 - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-45
Figure 3.5-47.	Alternative Mitigation Structure - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-46
Figure 3.5-48.	Alternative Mitigation Structure vs. Primary Structure - Electric and Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-47
Figure 3.5-49.	Measurements of GPS Satellite Signal-to-Noise Ratio versus Distance are Unchanged while Approaching and Crossing High Voltage Transmission Line Easement 3.5-78
Figure 3.5-50.	GPS Antennas Used by Cellular Base Stations Mounted Directly on High Voltage Transmission Line Towers 3.5-79
Figure 3.5-51.	Close-up View of a Tiny Corona Discharge at the Surface of a Conductor..... 3.5-81
Figure 3.5-52.	Sentence Intelligibility in Steady State Background Noise Indoor and Outdoor..... 3.5-82
Figure 3.5-53.	EPRI Red Book Applet Calculation Results for Audible Noise for Case 1 3.5-89
Figure 3.5-54.	Examples of Daytime (Left) vs. Nighttime (Right) AM Radio Signal Pattern Changes 3.5-105
Figure 3.5-55.	Examples of Cellular Antennas Installed Directly on High-Voltage Transmission Lines 3.5-110

Figures (continued)

	Page
Figure 3.5-56.	Cattle Grazing Underneath a 765 kV High Voltage Transmission Line.....3.5-113
Figure 3.6-1.	West-side Energy Corridor3.6-61
Figure 3.8-1.	Zone 1 Townsend to Mill Creek-Geohazards and Erosive Rock Types3.8-41
Figure 3.8-2.	Zone 2 Mill Creek to Glen-Geohazards and Erosive Rock Types3.8-42
Figure 3.8-3.	Zone 3 Glen to Stateline-Geohazards and Erosive Rock Types3.8-43
Figure 3.8-4.	Zone 4 Stateline to Sheep Station-Geohazards and Erosive Rock Types3.8-44
Figure 3.8-5.	Zone 5 Sheep Station to Coffee Point-Geohazards and Erosive Rock Types...3.8-45
Figure 3.8-6.	Zone 6 Coffee Point to Midpoint-Geohazards and Erosive Rock Types3.8-46
Figure 3.8-7.	Zone 1 Townsend to Mill Creek-Soil Erosion Sensitivity3.8-47
Figure 3.8-8.	Zone 2 Mill Creek to Glen-Soil Erosion Sensitivity3.8-48
Figure 3.8-9.	Zone 3 Glen to Stateline-Soil Erosion Sensitivity3.8-49
Figure 3.8-10.	Zone 4 Stateline to Sheep Station-Soil Erosion Sensitivity3.8-50
Figure 3.8-11.	Zone 5 Sheep Station to Coffee Point-Soil Erosion Sensitivity3.8-51
Figure 3.8-12.	Zone 6 Coffee Point to Midpoint-Soil Erosion Sensitivity3.8-52
Figure 3.8-13.	Zone 1 Townsend to Mill Creek-Soil Reclamation Constraints3.8-53
Figure 3.8-14.	Zone 2 Mill Creek to Glen-Soil Reclamation Restraints3.8-54
Figure 3.8-15.	Zone 3 Glen to Stateline-Soil Reclamation Constraints3.8-55
Figure 3.8-16.	Zone 4 Stateline to Sheep Station-Soil Reclamation Constraints3.8-56
Figure 3.8-17.	Zone 5 Sheep Station to Coffee Point-Soil Reclamation Constraints3.8-57
Figure 3.8-18.	Zone 6 Coffee Point to Midpoint-Soil Reclamation Constraints3.8-58
Figure 3.8-19.	Detail of Mapped Mass Movement Areas in Zone 3 – Link 15-2d, 39 and 16-3a Areas3.8-59
Figure 3.8-20.	Detail of Mapped Mass Movement Areas in Zone 3 – Link 16-3c and LRO 16-3 Areas3.8-60
Figure 3.8-21.	Detail of Mapped Mass Movement Areas in Zone 3 – Link 15-2d Area.....3.8-61
Figure 3.8-22.	Detail of Mapped Mass Movement Areas in Zone 3 – LRO 17-4 Area3.8-62
Figure 3.9-1.	Zone 1 Townsend to Mill Creek-Paleontology Sensitivity3.9-5
Figure 3.9-2.	Zone 2 Mill Creek to Glen-Paleontology Sensitivity.....3.9-6
Figure 3.9-3.	Zone 3 Glen to Stateline-Paleontology Sensitivity3.9-7
Figure 3.9-4.	Zone 4 Stateline to Sheep Station-Paleontology Sensitivity3.9-9
Figure 3.9-5.	Zone 5 Sheep Station to Coffee Point-Paleontology Sensitivity3.9-10
Figure 3.9-6.	Zone 6 Coffee Point to Midpoint-Paleontology Sensitivity3.9-11
Figure 3.10-1.	Grazing Allotments by Ownership through the Proposed Project Corridor3.10-24
Figure 4-1.	Transmission Lines within the MSTI Project Vicinity4-
Figure 4-2.	Roads within the MSTI Project Vicinity.....4-
Figure 5-1.	Boundaries of BLM Field Offices and Land Use Plans.....5-2

Tables	Page
Table 1-1.	Potential NorthWestern Energy Customers for the Proposed Project..... 1-9
Table 1-2.	Historic and Forecasted Electricity Sales (GWh) 1-10
Table 1-3.	Assessment of Potential Benefits of the Proposed Project..... 1-17
Table 1-4.	Authorizations, Permits, Reviews, and Approvals..... 1-27
Table 2.1.	Acres of Inconsistency for Visual Resources by Potential Plan Amendments 2-32
Table 2-2.	Approximate Alternative Lengths..... 2-35
Table 2-3.	Local Routing Options Analyzed..... 2-46
Table 2-4.	Summary of Effects of the No Action, Proposed Action, and Agency Preferred Alternative..... 2-52
Table 3.1-1.	Disturbance Model for Areas Temporarily Affected by the Proposed Project 3.1-3
Table 3.1-2	Disturbance Model for Land Permanently Affected by the Proposed Project 3.1-4
Table 3.2-1.	Global Warming Potentials and Atmospheric Lifetimes of Select Greenhouse Gases 3.2-5
Table 3.2-2.	Idaho and Montana Greenhouse Gas Emission Projections 3.2-6
Table 3.2-3.	Idaho, Montana, and National Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 3.2-8
Table 3.2-4.	Zone Alternatives Near Butte PM10 Non-Attainment Area..... 3.2-9
Table 3.2-5.	Three Closest Class I Areas and Distances to Each Route Alternative 3.2-10
Table 3.2-6.	Estimated Land Disturbance of Alternatives 3.2-14
Table 3.2-7.	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Associated with Construction Activities 3.2-19
Table 3.3-1.	Miles of Big Game Habitat and Wildlife Movement Corridors Crossed by the Proposed Project Alternatives 3.3-7
Table 3.3-2.	Special Status Animal Species that May Occur in the Proposed Project Study Area 3.3-13
Table 3.3-3.	Summary of Impacts to Biological Resources from the Proposed Project 3.3-33
Table 3.3-4.	Number of Stream Crossings by Stream Type for New Roads and Overland Routes by Alternative by Zone for the Proposed Project Area 3.3-45
Table 3.3-5.	Number of Stream Crossings, Sensitive Fish Species Counts, and Critical Habitat by Alternative by Zone for the Proposed Project Area 3.3-46
Table 3.3-6.	Relative Abundance of Fish Species Found in Streams Crossed by New Roads and Overland Routes..... 3.3-47
Table 3.3-7.	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project on Sensitive Species Associated with Specific Habitats..... 3.3-52
Table 3.3-8.	Comparison of Stream Crossings for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Mainline Alternative Links 3.3-57
Table 3.3-9.	Summary of Climate Change Impact Predictions on Climatological, Hydrological, and Biological Resources in Northwestern and Intermountain Rangelands 3.3-60
Table 3.3-10.	Estimated Cost (\$) of Mitigation for Measures Associated with New Roads and Overland Routes..... 3.3-69
Table 3.3-11.	Estimated Cost (\$) of Mitigation for Measures for New Roads and Overland Routes Associated with the Local Routing Options..... 3.3-70
Table 3.4-1.	Relevant Laws and Regulations Regarding Cultural Resources in the Study Corridor 3.4-
Table 3.4-2.	Agency Identified Cultural Resources Incorporated into Visual Project Component Visual Impact Analysis Model. 3.4-

Tables (continued)	Page
Table 3.4-3.	Comparison of Alternatives in Zone 1..... 3.4-
Table 3.4-4.	Comparison of Alternatives in Zone 2..... 3.4-
Table 3.4-5.	Comparison of Alternatives in Zone 3..... 3.4-
Table 3.4-6.	Alternative 4A Summary 3.4-
Table 3.4-7.	Comparison of Alternatives in Zone 5..... 3.4-
Table 3.4-8.	Alternative 6A Summary 3.4-
Table 3.4-9.	Cultural Resource Impact Ranking 3.4-
Table 3.5-1.	Typical Electric Field Values for Appliances, at 12 Inches 3.5-3
Table 3.5-2.	Magnetic Fields from Household Appliances..... 3.5-5
Table 3.5-3.	Summary of Spot Room Measurements in the United States 3.5-6
Table 3.5-4.	Percentage of U.S. Population with Average Field Exposure Exceeding Given Values..... 3.5-6
Table 3.5-5.	Average Magnetic Field Exposure During Work for Different Occupations..... 3.5-6
Table 3.5-6.	Case and Routing Link Correlation Table 3.5-9
Table 3.5-7.	Summary of Transmission Line Geometry and Configuration Assumptions for EMF Computer Modeling 3.5-21
Table 3.5-8.	Loading Conditions Used for EMF Computer Modeling 3.5-22
Table 3.5-9.	Summary of State Transmission Line Standards and Guidelines 3.5-23
Table 3.5-10.	Summary of Electric Field Calculation Results 3.5-48
Table 3.5-11.	Comparison of Electric Field Calculations for Existing Versus Proposed Line Configurations 3.5-49
Table 3.5-12.	Summary of Magnetic Field Calculation Results 3.5-50
Table 3.5-13.	Residences within 300 Feet of the Proposed Project Links and Calculated Electric and Magnetic Field Levels 3.5-54
Table 3.5-14.	Estimated Additional ROW Width Required to meet the State of Montana Electric Field Standard by Case 3.5-56
Table 3.5-15.	Calculated Maximum Electric Field Values within the ROW as a Function of Conductor Height 3.5-58
Table 3.5-16.	Calculated Number of Road Crossings in Montana for Raising Conductor Height and Estimated Costs 3.5-59
Table 3.5-17.	Typical Sound Levels for Common Sources in A-Weighted Decibels..... 3.5-83
Table 3.5-18.	Summary of Audible Noise Calculation Results on Different Types of Terrain for Various Rain Rates..... 3.5-84
Table 3.5-19.	Transmission Line Geometry Used for Audible Noise Calculations..... 3.5-85
Table 3.5-20.	Audible Noise Calculation Results at the ROW Edges 3.5-87
Table 3.5-21.	Comparison of Calculated Audible Noise Levels for Case 1 Configuration Using Three Popular Computer Modeling Programs 3.5-89
Table 3.5-22.	Audible Noise Calculations for Leq Levels at the ROW Edges 3.5-90
Table 3.5-23.	Audible Noise Calculations for Ldn Levels at the ROW Edges..... 3.5-90
Table 3.5-24.	Residences within 300 Feet of the MSTI Line Route and Calculated Audible Noise Levels..... 3.5-91
Table 3.5-25.	Transmission Line Geometry Used for Radio/Television Noise Calculations..... 3.5-97
Table 3.5-26.	Radio Noise Calculation Results at the ROW Edges..... 3.5-99
Table 3.5-27.	Television Interference Calculation Results at the ROW Edges 3.5-101
Table 3.5-28.	Radio Interference Calculation Results at the ROW Edges for Line Configuration Cases near Butte, Montana and Idaho Falls, Idaho 3.5-102

Tables (continued)	Page
Table 3.5-29.	Television Interference Calculation Results at the ROW Edges for Line Configuration Cases near Butte, Montana and Idaho Falls, Idaho 3.5-102
Table 3.5-30.	AM Radio Station Estimated Signal Strengths near Butte, Montana and Idaho Falls, Idaho..... 3.5-104
Table 3.5-31.	FM Radio Station Estimated Signal Strengths near Butte, Montana and Idaho Falls, Idaho..... 3.5-107
Table 3.5-32.	Television Broadcast Station Estimated Signal Strengths near Butte, Montana and Idaho Falls, Idaho 3.5-108
Table 3.6-1.	Land Jurisdiction Within 2 Miles of Centerline–Montana 3.6-8
Table 3.6-2.	Land Jurisdiction Within 2 Miles of Centerline–Idaho..... 3.6-8
Table 3.6-3.	Land Jurisdiction Crossed in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-10
Table 3.6-4.	Land Jurisdiction Crossed in Alternative Zones–Idaho 3.6-10
Table 3.6-5.	Conservation Easements in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-11
Table 3.6-6.	Conservation Reserve Program Easements in Alternative Zones–Idaho..... 3.6-12
Table 3.6-7.	Residences in Alternative Zones–Montana..... 3.6-13
Table 3.6-8.	Platted Subdivisions Crossed by Alternatives–Montana 3.6-15
Table 3.6-9.	Residences in Alternative Zones–Idaho..... 3.6-17
Table 3.6-10.	Schools within 2 Miles of Centerline–Montana 3.6-18
Table 3.6-11.	Schools in Alternative Zones–Idaho 3.6-19
Table 3.6-12.	Agricultural Land Crossed in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-21
Table 3.6-13.	Important Farmland in Alternative Zones–Montana..... 3.6-22
Table 3.6-14.	Major Farm Support Buildings in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-22
Table 3.6-15.	Agricultural Land in Alternative Zones–Idaho 3.6-23
Table 3.6-16.	Important Farmland in Alternative Zones–Idaho..... 3.6-23
Table 3.6-17.	Major Farm Support Buildings in Alternative Zones–Idaho 3.6-24
Table 3.6-18.	Registered Apiaries in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-24
Table 3.6-19.	FAA-Registered Airports in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-26
Table 3.6-20.	FAA-Registered Airports in Alternative Zones–Idaho 3.6-27
Table 3.6-21.	Federal Superfund Sites in Montana Analysis Zones 3.6-28
Table 3.6-22.	Wilderness Study Areas in Alternative Zones–Idaho 3.6-32
Table 3.6-23.	Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail Crossings-Montana..... 3.6-35
Table 3.6-24.	National Historic Trail Crossings–Idaho 3.6-35
Table 3.6-25.	Continental Divide National Scenic Trail Crossings-Montana..... 3.6-36
Table 3.6-26.	Scenic Byway Crossings–Idaho..... 3.6-37
Table 3.6-27.	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern in Analysis Area–Montana..... 3.6-38
Table 3.6-28.	Special Recreation Management Areas–Montana 3.6-40
Table 3.6-29.	Special Recreation Management Areas in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-41
Table 3.6-30.	Wildlife Management Areas Crossed by Alternatives–Montana..... 3.6-42
Table 3.6-31.	Developed Recreation Sites within 2 Miles of Centerline–Montana..... 3.6-43
Table 3.6-32.	Land and Water Conservation Fund Sites in Alternative Zones–Montana..... 3.6-44
Table 3.6-33.	Leased Oil/Gas Lands Crossed by Alternatives–Montana..... 3.6-49
Table 3.6-34.	Metal Mines on Federal Lands Crossed by Alternatives–Montana 3.6-52
Table 3.6-35.	Miles of Active Mining Claims Crossed–Montana 3.6-53
Table 3.6-36.	BLM Mineral Materials Sites in Alternative Zones–Montana 3.6-54
Table 3.6-37.	BLM Mineral Materials Sites in Alternative Zones–Idaho..... 3.6-55
Table 3.6-38.	Opencut Operations Crossed–Montana 3.6-56
Table 3.6-39.	Miles of Hard Rock Mines (Administered by the State) Crossed–Montana 3.6-58

Tables (continued)	Page
Table 3.6-40.	County Utility Corridor Comprehensive Plan/Growth Policies and Permit Requirements–Montana.....3.6-65
Table 3.6-41.	Miles of ROW within Counties Crossed by Proposed Alternatives in Montana3.6-66
Table 3.6-42.	County Utility Corridor Comprehensive Plan/Growth Policies and Permit Requirements–Idaho.....3.6-66
Table 3.6-43.	Miles of ROW within Counties Crossed by Proposed Alternatives in Idaho3.6-68
Table 3.6-44.	Proposed New Access Road per Jurisdiction in Alternative Zones3.6-71
Table 3.6-45.	Direct Impacts to Agricultural Land3.6-73
Table 3.6-46.	Environmental Specifications and Additional Mitigation Measures3.6-86
Table 3.6-47.	Estimated Mitigation Costs (\$1,000) for Implementation of Alternatives in Montana.....3.6-92
Table 3.7-1.	Counties Included in Core and Regional Study Areas.....3.7-2
Table 3.7-2.	Analyzed Socioeconomic Variables3.7-13
Table 3.7-3.	Expected Annual Property Taxes Associated with the Proposed Project, by Alternative and County in Montana.....3.7-24
Table 3.7-4.	Potential Property Tax Exemptions in 2014 Associated with the Proposed Project, by Alternative and County in Montana3.7-25
Table 3.7-5.	Expected Annual Property Tax Impacts Associated with the Proposed Project, by County and Alternative in Idaho.....3.7-26
Table 3.7-6.	Rates and Incidence of Injury and Illness for Electric Power Line Installers and Repairers.....3.7-28
Table 3.7-7.	Acres of Grazing Land Permanently Affected by the Proposed Project and Annual Value of Impact in Montana and Idaho3.7-31
Table 3.7-8.	Miles of Transmission Line that Would Cross Cropland and Acres of Cropland Potentially Taken out of Production Permanently by the Proposed Project3.7-33
Table 3.7-9.	Annual Value of Lost Agricultural Production and Increased Operating Costs for Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Cropland (\$2009)3.7-34
Table 3.7-10.	Hours of Visual Impact for Highway Travelers per Year, by Alternative.....3.7-37
Table 3.7-11.	Visual Impacts on Recreational Resources3.7-39
Table 3.7-12.	Fishing Days Associated with Fishing Areas Affected by the Proposed Project3.7-42
Table 3.7-13.	Mitigation Options for each Socioeconomic Impact3.7-51
Table 3.8-1.	Summary in Miles of Sensitivity, Initial, and Residual Impacts for Geohazards for Transmission Corridor Centerline3.8-16
Table 3.8-2.	Mileage Summary for Overall Soil Sensitivity for Transmission Corridor Centerline3.8-17
Table 3.8-3.	Mileage Summary for Overall Soil Sensitivity for Overland Roads3.8-18
Table 3.8-4.	Mileage Summary for Overall Soil Sensitivity for New Roads.....3.8-19
Table 3.8-5.	Summary in Miles of Overall Soil Sensitivity for Transmission Corridor Centerline for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links3.8-20
Table 3.8-6.	Summary in Miles of Sensitivity, Initial and Residual Impacts for Geohazards for Transmission Corridor Center Line for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links3.8-22
Table 3.8-7.	Summary in Acres of Initial Impacts for Soils for Transmission Corridor Centerline3.8-24

Tables (continued)	Page
Table 3.8-8.	Summary in Acres of Initial Impacts for Soils for Preliminary Overland Roads.....3.8-25
Table 3.8-9.	Summary in Acres of Residual Impacts for Soils for Transmission Corridor Centerline3.8-26
Table 3.8-10.	Summary in Acres of Residual Impacts for Soils for Preliminary Overland Roads.....3.8-27
Table 3.8-11.	Summary in Acres of Permanent Soil Impacts from New Roads3.8-28
Table 3.8-12.	Summary in Acres of Initial Impacts to Soils for Transmission Corridor Centerline for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links3.8-29
Table 3.8-13.	Summary in Acres of Initial Impacts to Soils for Overland Roads for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links.....3.8-31
Table 3.8-14.	Summary in Acres of Residual Impacts to Soils for Transmission Corridor Centerline for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links3.8-33
Table 3.8-15.	Summary in Acres of Residual Impacts to Soils for Overland Roads for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links3.8-36
Table 3.8-16.	Summary in Acres of Permanent Soil Impacts from New Roads for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links3.8-39
Table 3.8-17.	Summary of Relative Soil Mitigation Costs3.8-40
Table 3.9-1.	Summary of Geologic Units and Sediments with Moderate to High Potential for Occurrence of Paleontological Resources.....3.9-3
Table 3.9-2.	Mileage of Sensitive Geologic Units Crossed by the Proposed Project Alternatives.....3.9-8
Table 3.9-3.	Highest Sensitivity Geologic Units Associated with the Proposed Project3.9-12
Table 3.9-4.	Estimated Mitigation Costs based on Acres of Sensitive Geologic Units Potentially Disturbed by Construction of the Proposed Project.....3.9-14
Table 3.10-1.	Extent of Vegetation Cover Types in the Proposed Project Study Area.....3.10-3
Table 3.10-2.	Summary of Vegetation Cover Types Occurring in the Proposed Project Study Area3.10-4
Table 3.10-3.	Documented Noxious Weeds Potentially Occurring within all Proposed Project Alternatives.....3.10-10
Table 3.10-4.	Disturbance Model for Transmission Line Alternatives.....3.10-10
Table 3.10-5.	Summary of Direct Impacts to Vegetation Caused by the Transmission Line and Associated New Roads/Overland Routes3.10-14
Table 3.10-6.	Summary of Projected Direct Permanent and Short- and Long-Term Impacts to Vegetation Cover Types Caused by the Proposed Transmission Line Alternatives3.10-15
Table 3.10-7.	Summary of Projected Direct Permanent Impacts to Vegetation Cover Types Caused by Proposed New Access Roads and Overland Routes in the Proposed Project Area.....3.10-18
Table 3.10-8.	Summary of Grazing Allotments Traversed by Proposed Project Alternatives.....3.10-27
Table 3.10-9.	Summary of Projected Impacts to Grazing Allotments by Agency Caused by the Proposed Project Route Alternatives.....3.10-29
Table 3.10-10.	Summary of Special Status Plant Species that Occur, are Likely to Occur, or are Somewhat Likely to Occur in the Proposed Project Area.....3.10-37
Table 3.10-11.	Occurrence Determination Evaluation Matrix for Special Status Plant Species in the Proposed Project Area3.10-46
Table 3.10-12.	Summary of Special Status Plant Species that Occur, are Likely to Occur, or are Somewhat Likely to Occur per Proposed Project Alternative3.10-48

Tables (continued)	Page
Table 3.10-13.	Impact Summary Scoring for Proposed Project Route Alternatives 3.10-50
Table 3.10-14.	Local Routing Options and Portions of Alternatives They could Replace 3.10-51
Table 3.10-15.	Summary of Projected Impacts to Vegetation Caused by Local Routing Options and Corresponding Links 3.10-52
Table 3.10-16.	Estimates of Upland Reclamation Costs for the Proposed Project 3.10-58
Table 3.10-17.	Estimates of Upland Mitigation Costs by Alternative and Local Routing Option..... 3.10-60
Table 3.11-1.	Key Observation Points–Where to Find Existing Views and Simulations 3.11-3
Table 3.11-2.	Impacts by Link and Local Routing Option..... 3.11-39
Table 3.11-3.	Alternative Impact Summary Table 3.11-58
Table 3-12-1.	Preliminary New Roads and Overland Routes Proposed for the Project Area, by Zone Alternative and Land Ownership/Management 3.12-6
Table 3.12-2.	Water Resources within 2-Mile Buffer of the Alternatives 3.12-10
Table 3.12-3.	Water Resources within 2-Mile Buffer of the Local Routing Options 3.12-12
Table 3.12-4.	Potential Road Impacts to Surface Water Resources by Alternative 3.12-20
Table 3.12-5.	Number of Stream Crossings by Local Routing Option for New Roads and Overland Routes 3.12-27
Table 3.12-6.	Comparison of Stream Crossings for Local Routing Options and Corresponding Mainline Alternative Links 3.12-28
Table 3.12-7.	Summary of Riparian/Wetland Vegetation Types Occurring within Proposed Project Alternatives 3.12-31
Table 3.12-8.	Summary of Potential Impacts to Riparian/Wetland Areas Caused by the Proposed Project and Associated Access Roads 3.12-37
Table 3.12-9.	Summary of Potential Direct Impacts to Riparian/Wetland Areas by Community Type Caused by the Proposed Project..... 3.12-38
Table 3.12-10.	Summary of Potential Road-Related Impacts to Riparian/Wetland Areas by Community Type in the Proposed Project Area 3.12-41
Table 3.12-11.	Summary of Potential Impacts to Riparian/Wetland Areas by Local Routing Options and Associated Access Roads in the Project Area 3.12-44
Table 3.12-12.	Estimated Cost (\$) of Mitigation for Measures Associated with New Roads and Overland Routes 3.12-51
Table 3.12-13.	Estimated Cost (\$) of Mitigation for Measures for New Roads and Overland Routes Associated with the Local Routing Options..... 3.12-52
Table 3.12-14.	Estimates of Compensatory Riparian/Wetland Mitigation for the Proposed Project 3.12-53
Table 3.12-15.	Estimated Mitigation Costs for Permanent and Short- and Long-Term Impacts to Riparian/Wetland Areas in the Proposed Project Area 3.12-54
Table 3.13-1.	Low-Income Populations in the Core Study Area 3.13-2
Table 3.13-2.	Racial and Ethnic Minority Populations in the Core Study Area 3.13-2
Table 3.13-3.	Low-Income Populations that Exceed 20 Percent of the Total Population in Zone 1 3.13-3
Table 3.13-4.	Low-Income Populations that Exceed 20 Percent of the Total Population in Zone 2 3.13-4
Table 3.13-5.	Low-Income Populations that Exceed 20 Percent of the Total Population in Zone 3 3.13-4
Table 3.13-6.	Low-Income Populations that Exceed 20 Percent of the Total Population in Zone 5 3.13-5
Table 3.13-7.	Racial and Ethnic Minority Populations that Exceed 25 Percent of the Total Population in Zone 5..... 3.13-6

Tables (continued)	Page
Table 3.13-8.	Racial and Ethnic Minority Populations that Exceed 25 Percent of the Total Population in Zone 6.....3.13-6
Table 3.13-9.	Low-Income Populations that Exceed 20 Percent of the Total Population in the Study Area3.13-8
Table 3.13-10.	Racial and Ethnic Minority Populations that Exceed 25 Percent of the Total Population in the Study Area.....3.13-9
Table 3.14-1.	Environmental Issues/Impacts, Zone 1-Townsend to Mill Creek.....3.14-
Table 3.14-2.	Environmental Issues/Impacts, Zone 2-Mill Creek to Glen.....3.14-
Table 3.14-3.	Environmental Issues/Impacts, Zone 3-Glen to Stateline3.14-
Table 3.14-4.	Environmental Issues/Impacts, Zone 4-Stateline to Sheep Station.....3.14-
Table 3.14-5.	Environmental Issues/Impacts, Zone 5-Sheep Station to Coffee Point3.14-
Table 3.14-6.	Environmental Issues/Impacts, Zone 6-Coffee Point to Midpoint.....3.14-
Table 3.14-7.	Areas Considered Sensitive by MFSA for the Proposed Project.....3.14-
Table 3.14-8.	Preliminary Significance of Impacts of the Proposed Project Before Mitigation.....3.14-
Table 3.14-9.	Preliminary Significance of Impacts of the Proposed Project After Mitigation3.14-
Table 4-1.	Cumulative Impact Analysis Area by Resource4-
Table 4-2.	Transmission Lines in Proximity to MSTI Alternatives4-
Table 4-3.	Transmission and Generation Facilities – Reasonably Foreseeable4-
Table 4-4.	Existing and Proposed Wind Developments in Montana4-
Table 4-5.	Mile of Existing and Proposed Roads in Proximity to MSTI Alternatives.....4-
Table 4-6.	Avian Fatality Rates Observed at Some Wind Energy Projects4-
Table 4-7.	Bat Fatality Rates Observed at Wind Energy Projects.....4-
Table 4-8.	Summary of Estimated Economic Effects of Different Levels of Wind Generation4-
Table 4-9.	Cumulative Watershed Effects Analysis Results.....4-
Table 5-1.	Miles of Alternatives that are Inconsistent with Visual Resources Standards.....5-3
Table 5-2.	Plan Consistency for Air and Atmospheric Values NA.....5-4
Table 5-3.	Plan Consistency for Wildlife5-5
Table 5-4.	Plan Consistency for Fisheries.....5-6
Table 5-5.	Plan Consistency for Sage-grouse.....5-7
Table 5-6.	Plan Consistency for Cultural Resources.....5-8
Table 5-7.	Plan Consistency for Land Use and Recreation.....5-9
Table 5-8.	Plan Consistency for Socioeconomics5-10
Table 5-9.	Plan Consistency for Soils and Geology.....5-11
Table 5-10.	Plan Consistency for Paleontology5-12
Table 5-11.	Plan Consistency for Vegetation Resources5-13
Table 5-12.	Plan Consistency for Visual Resources5-14
Table 5-13.	Plan Consistency for Water Resources5-15
Table 5-14.	Plan Consistency for Environmental Justice.....5-16
Table 6-1.	Elected Official Briefings in Montana and Idaho6-
Table 6-2.	Agency Meetings in Montana and Idaho6-
Table 6-3.	Proposed Project Scoping Period Newspaper Advertising6-
Table 6-4.	Public Scoping Meeting Attendance.....6-
Table 6-5.	Agency Scoping Meeting Attendance.....6-
Table 6-6.	Additional Public Meeting Dates and Locations6-
Table 6-7.	Tribal Recipients of NorthWestern’s Informational Letter.....6-

Appendices

A Rate Impact Study

B.1 Local Routing Options Considered but Eliminated

B.2 Transmission Line and Substation General Specifications

B.3 Construction Details and Workforce Estimates

B.4 Agency Stipulations

B.5 Local Routing Options Maps

C.2 Closest Distances from MSTI Route Alternatives to Class I Areas within the Study Area

C.3.1 Zone Maps Illustrating Big Game Winter Range, Wildlife Movement Corridors, and Waterfowl Use Areas

C.3.2 Fish Distribution Tables

C.3.3 Complete Special Status Animal Species Lists for Montana and Idaho

C.3.4 Effects of Power Lines on Greater Sage-Grouse: A Review of the Literature

C.3.5 Quantified Impacts to Sage-Grouse Habitats and Leks for MSTI Alternative Alignments and Local Routing Options

C.3.6 Agency Contacts

C.4.1 National Register Listed Site Forms

C.4.2 MDEQ Letter to NorthWestern Energy

C.4.3 Draft Programmatic Agreement

C.4.4 Previously Conducted Inventories (Tables)

C.4.5 Previously Conducted Inventories (Maps)

C.4.6 Aerial Reconnaissance Site Forms (Confidential)

C.4.7 Site Density Maps

C.4.8 Project Component Visual Analysis Maps (Confidential)

C.4.9 Key Observation Points Photos

C.4.10 Sensitive Point Visual Analysis Maps (Confidential)

C.4.11 Maps of 67 Sensitive Points

C.4.12 Previously Identified Sites (Tables)

C.4.13 Previously Identified Site (Maps; Confidential)

C.4.14 Impacts to Cultural Resources by LROs

C.4.15 Cost Estimates per MFSA Application Requirement

C.5.1 EMF Computer Modeling Assumptions

C.6 MFSA Impacts for Montana Alternatives

C.7.1 Socioeconomic

C.7.2 Transmission Lines and Property Values: Review of the Research and Summary of Key Findings

C.8.1 Methods and Data Used to Determine Impacts for Geology and Soils

C.8.2 Geohazard Impact Analysis Tables Data Summaries by Link

C.8.3 Soil Impact Analysis Tables Data Summaries by Link

C.8.4 USFS Region 1 Soil Quality Standards

C.8.5 Soil Relative Mitigation Cost Estimate

C.9 Paleontologically Sensitive Geologic Units and Sediments

C.10.1 Cover Type Descriptions

C.10.2 Noxious Weeds

C.10.3 Projected Impacts to Vegetation by Alternative and Agency

C.10.4 Short Term Impact Estimates for Concrete Batch Plants and Staging Areas

C.10.5 Grazing Allotments and Rangeland Health

C.10.6 Special Status Plants Montana (120 Species)

Appendices (continued)

- C.10.7 Special Status Plants Idaho (68 Species)
- C.10.8 Special Status Plant Species
- C.11.1 Existing Conditions Supplement
- C.11.2 Montana and Idaho County Plans and Policies
- C.11.3 Analysis Methods
- C.11.4 Simulations
- C.11.5a Visual Contrast Rating Worksheets
- C.11.5b KOP Rating Forms
- C.12.1 Montana and Idaho TMDL Tables
- C.12.2 Draft 318 Authorization
- C.12.3 Floodplains Crossing Project Alternatives
- C.12.4 Hydric Soils in the Project Area
- C.12.5 Riparian/Wetland Mapping
- C.12.6 Link Milepost Locations of Mapped Riparian/Wetland Areas within 250 Feet of
Transmission Line Centerlines
- C.12.7 Montana Perennial Stream Crossing Assessment
- C.13 Environmental Justice

- E Supporting Documentation for Chapter 5